A Preferred Scale for Warm-Core Instability in a Nonconvective Moist Basic State

BRIAN H. KAHN* AND DOUGLAS M. SINTON

Department of Meteorology, San José State University, San José, California

(Manuscript received 17 October 2007, in final form 22 January 2008)

ABSTRACT

The existence, scale, and growth rates of subsynoptic-scale warm-core circulations are investigated with a simple parameterization for latent heat release in a nonconvective basic state using a linear two-layer shallow-water model. For a range of baroclinic flows from moderate to high Richardson number, conditionally stable lapse rates approaching saturated adiabats consistently yield the most unstable modes with a warm-core structure and a Rossby number $\sim O(1)$, with higher Rossby numbers stabilized. This compares to the corresponding most unstable modes for the dry cases that have cold-core structures and Rossby numbers $\sim O(10^{-1})$ or in the quasigeostrophic range. The maximum growth rates of 0.45 of the Coriolis parameter are an order of magnitude greater than those for the corresponding most unstable dry modes. Because the Rossby number of the most unstable mode for nearly saturated conditions is virtually independent of Richardson number, the preferred scale of these warm-core modes varies directly with the mean vertical shear for a given static stability.

This scale relation suggests that the requirement to maintain nearly saturated conditions on horizontal scales sufficient for development can be met more easily on the preferred subsynoptic horizontal scales associated with weak vertical shear. Conversely, the lack of instability for higher Rossby numbers implies that stronger vertical shears stabilize smaller subsynoptic regions that are destabilized for weaker vertical shears. This has implications for the scale and existence of warm-core circulations in the tropics, such as those assumed a priori in wind-induced surface heat exchange (WISHE).

1. Introduction

Tropical cyclones, mesoscale convective systems (MCSs), and polar lows require moisture supplied by warm-core subsynoptic-scale circulations that develop in generally saturated, near-neutral conditions over a range of weak to moderate vertical shears (Xu and Emanuel 1989; Emanuel 1989; Houze 2004). Accounting for the scale of such warm-core circulations has proven to be elusive. In a recent paper on the relationship between extratropical precursors and ensuing tropical depressions, Davis and Bosart (2006) state “No theory exists that accurately describes the scale contraction from the precursor disturbance (1000–3000 km) to the developing depression (100–300 km).”

This provides the primary motivation for this study—to determine whether there is a preferred scale for warm-core instability similar to the scale of the warm-core circulations that supply moisture to tropical cyclones.

When embarking on a linear instability study, it is important to consider how such studies apply to real atmospheric phenomena (e.g., Descamps et al. 2007) given their inappropriateness for all but the most primitive simulations where there is no interaction between the perturbation and the basic state. Furthermore, Descamps et al. (2007) conclude that linear scales only broadly agree with those of real phenomena. Any consideration of convection interacting with larger scales is clearly not appropriate for a linear study and, correspondingly, the current investigation is limited to a nonconvective basic state. Nevertheless, if it can be shown that a preferred scale for warm-core instability exists; its sensitivity to basic-state parameters, such as baroclinity and saturation, may shed some light on the above-mentioned scale gap described by Davis and Bosart (2006).

A review of previous efforts to find a preferred scale

* Current affiliation: Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California.

Corresponding author address: Douglas M. Sinton, Department of Meteorology, San José State University, One Washington Square, San José, CA 95192-0104.
E-mail: sinton@met.sjsu.edu

for warm-core instability reveals the problems associated with the disparity between the convective scale and larger scales. Earlier investigations into the scale of warm-core phenomena focused on the interaction of cumulus-scale convection with the larger-scale circulation that is required to converge the moisture that sustains the convection. These types of investigations are commonly described as conditional instability of the second kind (CISK). Because the cumulus scale is a preferred scale for convective instability arising from the conversion of CAPE and downward available potential energy (DAPE), CISK has yet to provide a satisfactory explanation for the observed, subsynoptic scale as the only preferred scale for instability with a finite growth rate (Charney and Eliassen 1964; Mak 1981; Fraedrich and McBride 1995).

Given that the latent heating in CISK redistributes moist entropy without generating it (Xu and Emanuel 1989; Arakawa 2004), some of the more recent studies of warm-core subsynoptic-scale phenomena have taken a finite-amplitude approach that shifts the focus from convective heating as a source of instability to the issue of how the required moist static energy is supplied while assuming a priori the existence of a suitably scaled circulation to converge it (Emanuel 1986; Holton 2004). Wind-induced surface heat exchange (WISHE) has emerged as an efficient process for injecting the required amounts of moist static energy to sustain convection in tropical cyclones and polar lows (Craig and Gray 1996). In a recent simulation (Montgomery et al. 2006), a preexisting midlevel cyclonic mesoscale convective vortex (MCV) was needed in order to provide a suitable environment for organizing convection leading to tropical cyclogenesis.

A recent study of the role of tropical waves in tropical cyclogenesis (Frank and Roundy 2006) found that a dominant baroclinic first internal mode structure is common to tropical waves near the genesis of tropical cyclones. Previous investigations into the effect of latent heat release on baroclinic instability using quasigeostrophic models (Tokioaka 1973; Mak 1982, 1983; Bannon 1986; Wang and Barcilon 1986; Thorncroft and Hoskins 1990) have shown moderate decreases in preferred scale and corresponding increases in growth rate (see Fig. 1 in Mak 1982) compared to the dry case along with modest increases in upshear phase tilt with height (see Fig. 4 in Mak 1982). There are, however, two limitations to the quasigeostrophic approach to warm-core subsynoptic-scale phenomena. First, the assumption of near geostrophy may not apply for subsynoptic scales, especially when the Rossby number (Ro) exceeds $O(10^{-1})$ (Moorthi and Arakawa 1985; Thorpe and Emanuel 1985) and the growth rate approaches the Co-

riolis parameter, f (Fraedrich and McBride 1995). Second, warm-core systems are equivalently barotropic because the thickness and mass fields align as vertically stacked structures. The resulting lack of thickness advection by the geostrophic wind prevents any conversion of mean available potential energy (P_M) to eddy available potential energy (P_E) by the geostrophic wind, thus precluding any quasigeostrophic baroclinic instability for warm-core systems. It is therefore unsurprising that previous studies that used quasigeostrophic formulations modified by latent heating parameterizations have been unsuccessful in accounting for the spectrum of observed subsynoptic-scale warm-core structures.

A two-layer shallow-water model on an f plane is one of the simplest models that is capable of both producing the baroclinic first internal mode discussed in Frank and Roundy (2006) while also resolving ageostrophic flows precluded by quasigeostrophic limitations. Its simplicity and versatility make it a valuable tool for finding instabilities that might underlie some of the circulations, such as those assumed a priori in WISHE, that serve as catalysts for the recent advances in warm-core numerical simulations. In this spirit, the motivation for the current investigation is to 1) determine if there is an instability underlying the origin of warm-core circulations that act as precursors to tropical cyclones, 2) assess the sensitivity of the growth rate and spatial scale to basic-state parameters, and 3) determine whether the structure of such circulations might serve to converge water vapor as assumed a priori in WISHE (Montgomery et al. 2006; and in other works). The existence of such instability may shed some light on factors affecting the origin, development, and scale of warm-core circulations, which thus far have been initially prescribed to function as precursors for tropical cyclogenesis as in a recent zero vertical shear simulation by Nolan et al. (2007).

Accordingly, the current investigation uses the two-layer shallow-water model from Orlanski (1968; hereafter IFW) and Sinton and Heise (1993) modified by a simple parameterization for latent heating. Section 2 describes the model configuration and physics, the latent heat parameterization, and model energetics. Section 3 examines the model solutions: a moist but nonconvective mode with a warm-core structure and a convergent circulation, with enhanced growth rates on a preferred scale determined by the magnitudes of vertical shear and saturation. Finally, section 4 summarizes the characteristics of the nonconvective moist mode and then examines the implications of the mode's existence and its scale dependence on vertical shear strength and saturation.

FIG. 1. Two-layer shallow-water model schematic: $\bar{h}$ (thin solid and dashed lines) and $\rho_1 > \rho_2$.

2. Methodology

a. Latent heating parameterization

The interface in the two-layer shallow-water model that separates two fluid layers with different densities (see Fig. 1) is analogous to an isentropic surface in a stably stratified atmosphere (e.g., Andrews et al. 1987). Latent heating resulting from vertical motion occurs as a mass exchange across isentropic surfaces. To emulate this in the shallow-water system, the continuity equation in Sinton and Heise (1993) for each layer is modified by the addition of the scalar q , which multiplies each divergence term,

$$\frac{\partial h'_m}{\partial t} = - \left(U_m \frac{\partial h'_m}{\partial x} + v'_m \frac{dH_m}{dy} + H_m(1-q)\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_m + H_n q \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_n \right)_{n \neq m}, \quad (1)$$

with $h'_1 = h'$, $h'_2 = H - h'$, $H_1 = \bar{h}$, and $H_2 = H - \bar{h}$, and vertical layers $m = 1, 2$; $n = 2, 1$, respectively (see Fig. 1). The effect of q is to modify the change in interface height h' and mean column density $\bar{\rho}$ by moving fluid across the interface (see Fig. 2). The latent heating is reversible as opposed to pseudoadiabatic because there is no partitioning between rising and subsiding motion. For $q = 0.5$, the mean column density is unchanged regardless of divergence (vertical velocity). As is shown later, $q = 0.5$ is the convective threshold. An examination of Eq. (1) in the context of mass conservation demonstrates this. Conservation of mass requires, on average,

$$H_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_1 = -H_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_2. \quad (2)$$

Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) gives

$$\frac{\partial h'_1}{\partial t} = -H_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_1 (1-2q) - \left(U_1 \frac{\partial h'_1}{\partial x} + v'_1 \frac{dH_1}{dy} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial h'_2}{\partial t} = H_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_1 (1-2q) - \left(U_2 \frac{\partial h'_2}{\partial x} + v'_2 \frac{dH_2}{dy} \right). \quad (4)$$

Thus, for the convective threshold of $q = 0.5$, divergence cannot affect the fluid interface (see Fig. 3) because the divergence terms in Eqs. (3) and (4) vanish. The convective threshold case of $q = 0.5$ represents an atmosphere with a saturated adiabatic lapse rate because parcels move vertically without changing the density (temperature) of the fluid (atmospheric) column. For $q < 0.5$, the familiar cooling (warming) associated with rising (sinking) motion occurs because latent heating effects are less than adiabatic effects. For $q > 0.5$ the fluid column density decreases (increases) for rising (sinking) motion, reflecting the conversion of CAPE (DAPE) to sensible heat in a conditionally unstable atmosphere. The $q > 0.5$ case thus represents a convective basic state, and it is therefore not an appropriate case for this study given the results of the previous linear perturbation analyses discussed in the introduction. In view of this, $q = 0.5$ is referred to as the convective threshold. Derivation of the model energetics confirms this behavior and is detailed in the following subsection.

b. Energetics

Using $h'_1 = h'$ and $h'_2 = H - h'$, along with the relations

$$w'_1 = -H_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (5)$$

$$w'_2 = H_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_2, \quad (6)$$

Eq. (1) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{dh'}{dt} = w'_1 - q(w'_1 + w'_2) \quad \text{and} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dh'}{dt} = w'_2 - q(w'_1 + w'_2). \quad (8)$$

From Eq. (6) in Mechoso and Sinton (1983),

$$\frac{dP_E}{dt} = g(\rho_1 - \rho_2) \int_0^{L_y} \left\langle \frac{d(h')^2}{dt} \right\rangle dy, \quad (9)$$

the limits of integration at $y = 0$ and $y = L_y$ can be substituted for $\pm\infty$ because the interface perturbation is confined to the region $0 \leq y \leq L_y$, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represents an integration over the region $0 \leq x \leq L_x$, and g is gravity.

FIG. 2. Behavior of the fluid interface in the two-layer model. The depressed interface in the center of the figure represents a vertical column of less dense (warmer) fluid relative to the neighboring fluid columns. The black dashed-dotted line is the height of the interface after vertical motion with no latent heating ($q = 0$), while the dotted line is the height of the interface after model latent heating ($0 < q < 0.5$) moves some fluid from the lower to the upper layer.

First, define W_Q , the generation of P_E by latent heating, as

$$W_Q \equiv -g(\rho_1 - \rho_2)q \int_0^{L_y} \langle h'(w'_1 + w'_2) \rangle dy, \quad (10)$$

and then integrate $g\rho_1 h'$ [Eq. (7)] $- g\rho_2 h'$ [Eq. (8)]; last, apply the energy block diagram from Fig. 3 in Mechoso and Sinton (1983). Equation (9) then becomes

$$\frac{dP_E}{dt} = W_3 - (W_5 + W_3 - W_4) + W_Q, \quad (11)$$

where the baroclinic conversion W_3 , the cross-isobaric conversion W_5 , and W_4 , which is discussed in more detail following Eq. (18), are defined by IFW's (9.19), (9.21), and (9.20), respectively. Defining W_K as

$$W_K \equiv W_5 + W_3 - W_4, \quad (12)$$

FIG. 3. Latent heating cases for rising motion. Thick black line is interface separating denser fluid from less dense fluid. Solid red (blue) shading represents unaffected less dense (denser) upper (lower)-layer fluid. Pink diagonal shading represents less dense fluid removed from the upper layer because of upper-layer divergence $[-(1 - q)H_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_2]$. Blue textured pattern represents less dense fluid added to upper layer by mass exchange resulting from lower-layer convergence $(-qH_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_1)$. Red textured pattern represents denser fluid removed from the lower layer by mass exchange resulting from upper-layer divergence $[-qH_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_2]$. Solid light blue shading represents denser fluid added to the lower layer because of lower-layer convergence $[-(1 - q)H_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}'_1]$.

FIG. 4. Energetics for the cases: (a) $q = 0$; $\text{Ro} \sim O(10^{-1})$; (b) $q = 0$; $\text{Ro} \geq O(10^{-1})$; (c) $q > 0.5$; $\text{Ro} \sim O(\infty)$; (d) $0.45 < q < 0.5$; $\text{Ro} \sim O(1)$. W_{BC} is the baroclinic conversion of mean available potential energy P_M to eddy available potential energy P_E ; W_K is the conversion of P_E to eddy kinetic energy K_E ; and W_Q is the generation of P_E by latent heating. Font size and arrow shaft thickness for energy conversions are proportional to their relative magnitudes. Dashed W_{BC} arrow shaft in (c) indicates W_{BC} is not required in this case.

and applying IFW's (9.29), the following relation for the conversion of P_E to K_E by adiabatic heating and cooling is obtained:

$$W_K = -g(\rho_1 - \rho_2) \int_0^{L_y} \left\langle h' \left(\frac{w'_1 + w'_2}{2} \right) \right\rangle dy. \quad (13)$$

Comparison between Eqs. (10) and (13) reveals that

$$W_Q = 2qW_K. \quad (14)$$

Define the baroclinic conversion as

$$W_{\text{BC}} \equiv W_3, \quad (15)$$

and substitute Eq. (13) into Eq. (11) to obtain

$$\frac{dP_E}{dt} = W_{\text{BC}} + W_Q - W_K. \quad (16)$$

By substituting Eq. (14) into Eq. (16), the barotropic case $W_{\text{BC}} = 0$ becomes

$$\frac{dP_E}{dt} = W_K(2q - 1). \quad (17)$$

Analogous to Fraedrich and McBride (1995), their ratio of diabatic heating to adiabatic cooling γ is defined here as $2q$. Equation (17) shows that for the convective regime $q > 0.5$ ($\gamma > 1$), a direct circulation ($W_K > 0$) increases P_E because CAPE (DAPE) is converted to sensible heat at a greater rate than P_E is consumed by adiabatic cooling (heating). With this in mind, the model energetics can be represented by the four cases shown in Fig. 4. Cases a and b are dry cases ($q = W_Q = 0$). Case a is the standard dry baroclinic conversion cycle, where $W_{\text{BC}} > W_K$ and $\text{Ro} \sim O(10^{-1})$ with $\text{Ro} = (U_2 - U_1)k/(2f)$ and $k = 2\pi/L_x$. Case b is the shortwave cutoff for dry baroclinic instability, where $W_{\text{BC}} = W_K$ and $\text{Ro} \geq O(10^{-1})$. Case c is the convective case described above where $q > 0.5$ exceeds the convective threshold and $W_Q > W_K$, thus precluding the need for W_{BC} . The preferred scale for instability is $\text{Ro} \sim O(\infty)$, or the cumulus scale. This is widely known as the “ultraviolet catastrophe,” where the most unstable scale is the smallest (e.g., Majda and Shefter 2001). Accordingly, the convective case is not considered in the current investigation.

The focus of the current investigation is the noncon-

vective case d, where W_K is slightly larger than W_Q : $(W_K - W_Q)/|W_K| \ll 1$. This case is defined by an atmosphere approaching a saturated adiabatic lapse rate ($0.45 < q < 0.5$) with just enough baroclinic conversion [$W_{BC}/|W_K| \ll 1$ and $W_{BC} > (W_K - W_Q)$] so that $dP_E/dt > 0$. This can be compared to the case McBride and Fraedrich (1995) referred to as the “fast mode without CISK mode” for $\gamma < 1$ (see McBride and Fraedrich 1995, their Table 1), which is stable because of axisymmetry and absence of convection, but is unstable in the shallow-water model because of baroclinicity. Substitution of the geostrophic meridional wind, defined as

$$v'_{gm} = \left(\frac{1}{f\rho_m} \right) \frac{\partial p'_m}{\partial x} \quad (18)$$

for the meridional wind v'_{gm} in the definition for W_{BC} from IFW's (9.19), reveals that the geostrophic component of W_{BC} is W_4 [IFW's (9.20)], which is hereafter referred to as the geostrophic baroclinic conversion W_{BCG} . The ageostrophic component of W_{BC} can then be defined as $W_{BC} - W_{BCG}$ (hereafter W_{BCAG}), the ageostrophic baroclinic conversion, which is the term that distinguishes these energetics from the classic quasigeostrophic baroclinic energy cycle. Because of the equivalent barotropy discussed earlier, warm-core systems have $|W_{BCG}/W_{BCAG}| \ll 1$. In the case where the most unstable mode has a purely warm-core structure, W_{BC} consists entirely of W_{BCAG} , thus precluding a warm-core structure from occurring in a quasigeostrophic system. Solutions of the current investigation show that this occurs for $Ro \sim O(1)$.

c. Method of solution

The method of solution is similar to Sinton and Heise (1993), but with the boundary conditions from IFW applied at $y = 0; L_y$. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors for the resulting system are found using an $N \times N$ coefficient matrix with $N = 2112$, which was the resolution needed to resolve modes for the highest Ri case for $Ri = gH(\rho_1 - \rho_2)/[\bar{\rho}(U_2 - U_1)^2]$ ($N > 2112$ overtaxed the software used to find eigenvectors). For a fixed stratification $g(\rho_1 - \rho_2)/(\bar{\rho}H)$, L_y varies as $Ri^{1/2}/f$. Thus, L_y becomes large relative to the meridional scale of the perturbation for large Ri ($Ri > 10^3$). All eigenvalues are confirmed (see appendix B in Sinton and Heise 1993) using the Newton–Raphson iteration method modified for q (see the appendix). Note that unlike Sinton and Heise (1993), $dU_m/dy = 0$ in all cases so the effects of horizontal wind shear are not considered in this investigation.

3. Results

a. Energy vectors

To minimize the influence of latent heating in the frontal regions where the interface intersects the upper and lower boundaries, a sinusoidal q profile (see Fig. 5) is used in all cases with q_c representing the maximum value of q , which occurs in the center of the meridional (y) domain where the interface is at midlevel. Energy vectors are defined by

$$\Theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2W_Q}{W_{BC}} \right), \quad (19)$$

illustrated in Fig. 6, and they rotate to the left as the effect of latent heating increases, pointing vertically when $W_Q = W_{BC}$, and then into the second quadrant as $W_Q > W_{BC}$. Additionally, the phase lag between p'_2 and p'_1 corresponds to the sign of W_{BCG} . When $W_{BCG} = 0$, only W_{BCAG} contributes to W_{BC} , corresponding to a warm-core structure with a 180° phase lag between p'_2 and p'_1 . Negative values of W_{BCG} correspond to a damping quasigeostrophic configuration associated with a down-shear phase lag $> 180^\circ$ between p'_2 and p'_1 .

b. Sensitivity to variation of latent heating

Figure 7 shows the growth rate σ normalized by f for the most unstable mode found for $Ri = 100$ as a function of Ro and q_c . There is a marked increase in both σ/f and Ro as q_c increases in the nonconvective range beyond 0.45 but stays below the convective threshold of 0.5. Nevertheless, in this range the instabilities only exist for Ro less than the values denoted by the thick solid line, denoting the shortwave cutoff Ro_{CUT} and its associated length scale

$$L_{CUT} \equiv \frac{U_2 - U_1}{fRo_{CUT}} \quad (20)$$

for $q_c < 0.5$, the nonconvective regime. The warm-core case with its pure black energy vector (see Fig. 7b) occurs for $Ro = 0.883$ and $q_c = 0.49815$. For values of $Ro > 0.883$ there is an increasing down-shear phase lag $> 180^\circ$ between p'_2 and p'_1 associated with larger negative values of W_{BCG} as Ro increases. Finally, in Fig. 7a, the frontal (gray stem) B modes (e.g., IFW; Sinton and Heise 1993; Sinton and Mechoso 1984) are most unstable for $q_c < 0.2$, while the zero phase speed and nonfrontal (black stem) E modes (e.g., IFW; Mechoso and Sinton 1983; Sinton and Mechoso 1984) are most unstable for $0.2 \leq q_c < 0.5$ as the effect of latent heating in the interior overcomes frontal effects.

Figure 8 is a schematic of the phase lags and circulations for various Ro regimes. Scaling as in Sinton

FIG. 5. Variation of q in y direction for various Ri values: (a) over the full domain and (b) in the center of the domain for $Ri = 10$ (dashed), 40 (dotted), 100 (solid), and 1000 (dashed-dotted).

(1984), the nondimensional zonal momentum equation becomes

$$Ro\tilde{\sigma}\frac{\partial\tilde{u}'_m}{\partial\tilde{t}} = -\left(Ro\tilde{U}_m\frac{\partial\tilde{u}'_m}{\partial\tilde{x}} - \tilde{v}'_m + RiRo\frac{\partial\tilde{p}'_m}{\partial\tilde{x}}\right)_{m=1,2}, \quad (21)$$

where the $\sim$ overbar denotes nondimensional variables. As Ro increases beyond 1, there is a stronger positive (negative) correlation between u' and p' in the lower (upper) layer resulting from the opposite sign of

U_m in each layer. The corresponding divergence pattern associated with $\partial u'/\partial x$ in each layer aligns p'_2 and p'_1 (see Fig. 8d), consistent with the correlation between u' and p' in each layer.

c. Sensitivity to variation of baroclinity for dry and moist cases

Figure 9 shows the growth rates versus Ro for both the dry case ($q_c = 0$) and the case where the warm-core structure is the most unstable mode for the four Ris at 10, 40, 100, and 1000. A unique, nonzero q_c is deter-

FIG. 6. Energy vector schematic with Θ as defined by Eq. (19). The geostrophic component of W_{BC} , W_{BCG} , is the gray segment while the ageostrophic component of W_{BC} , W_{BCAG} , is the black segment of the vector shaft. The solid circle is the tip of the vector. Shaft segments between the open circle and tip represent positive values of W_{BCG} and/or W_{BCAG} while shaft segments on the opposite side of the open circle from the tip represent negative values of W_{BCG} and/or W_{BCAG} . W_{BCG} and W_{BCAG} are normalized by W_{BC} such that $(W_{BCG} + W_{BCAG})/W_{BC} = 1$. A warm-core structure appears as a pure black vector pointing to the left (toward lower Ro on subsequent figures).

mined for each Ri by varying q_c until the most unstable mode for each Ri has $W_{BCG} = 0$ over the domain, which corresponds to an average 180° phase lag between p'_2 and p'_1 . Because the Ris have a constant density differential $(\rho_1 - \rho_2)/\bar{\rho} = 0.04$, the mean vertical shear $(U_2 - U_1)$ varies as $Ri^{-1/2}$.

The dry cases show the expected $Ro \sim Ri^{-1/2}$ (e.g., Simmons 1974) relationship for the most unstable baroclinic mode whose length scale is the Rossby radius of deformation $L_o = \sqrt{gH(\rho_1 - \rho_2)/(\bar{\rho}f^2)}$. As q_c increases toward the convective threshold of 0.5, the effective static stability $g(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(1 - 2q_c)/(\bar{\rho}H)$, the corresponding effective Ri , $Ri^* = (1 - 2q_c)Ri$, and the effective Rossby radius of deformation $L_o^* = \sqrt{gH(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(1 - 2q_c)/(\bar{\rho}f^2)}$ all approach 0. The reduction in perturbation length scale is consistent with the reduction for quasigeostrophic baroclinic instability in a moist basic state as effective static stability decreases for increased latent heating.

For the warm core with the most unstable mode, Ri^* remains near 1 as q_c approaches 0.5 for increasing Ri . This accounts for Ro remaining near 1 despite $Ri^{-1/2}$ changing by an order of magnitude. Assuming the perturbation's meridional scale is comparable to its zonal scale, an effective Burger number can be defined analogously to Sinton and Heise's (1993) (3.11),

$$Ro_{WC} \equiv (2Ri^*)^{-1/2}, \quad (22)$$

where $Ro_{WC} \equiv Ro$ for the warm core as the most unstable mode. Because $Ro_{WC} \sim O(1)$, its preferred length scale becomes

$$L_{WC} \equiv \left(\frac{U_2 - U_1}{f} \right). \quad (23)$$

Compensating for the decrease in baroclinity as Ri increases, q_c increases slightly with Ri toward the 0.5 convective threshold. Despite this slight increase, q_c in all cases is confined to $0.4885 \leq q_c < 0.5$, indicating that the warm-core instability as the preferred scale is limited to the nonconvective regime of saturated nearly adiabatic lapse rates for $Ri \geq 10$. As Ri increases, the energy vector angles (Fig. 9b) rotate toward 180° , confirming that as baroclinity decreases an ever-larger share of P_E comes from latent heating as opposed to baroclinity ($W_Q \gg W_{BC}$). This decouples Ro from its dry baroclinic $Ri^{-1/2}$ dependence.

d. Warm-core mode circulation

The upper and lower perturbation velocity, pressure, and thickness fields for the $Ri = 1000$ warm-core case are shown in Figs. 10 and 11. The circulations are distinctly ageostrophic with convergence into the center of the lower-layer low and divergence from the center of the upper-layer high situated directly above the low. The positive (negative) meridional flow in the warm (cold) portions of the perturbation at the location of the lower-layer low (high) corresponds to $W_{BCAG} > 0$, while the rising (sinking) motion in the warm (cold) portions of the perturbation corresponds to $W_K > 0$. The symmetry in amplitude between the warm-core cyclone and cold-core anticyclone on the right side of Fig. 10 is associated with the reversible latent heating. The magnitude of the evaporative cooling in the subsiding fluid of the cold-core anticyclone is equal to the latent heating by condensation in the rising fluid of the warm-core cyclone. Because it is not realistic for all of the condensate to evaporate, the cold-core anticyclone should be cooled less and be correspondingly weaker (e.g., Curry 1987) than the warm-core cyclone.

e. Warm-core mode sensitivity to scale

Figure 12 shows the energy vectors varying as Ro increases for the $Ri = 40$ warm core most unstable case ($q_c = 0.496$). For all Ro , $W_Q \gg W_{BC}$ as indicated by the energy vectors' rotation approaching 180° . As Ro increases beyond 0.899, its value for the preferred warm-core scale W_{BCG} decreases toward large negative values

FIG. 7. Growth rate of most unstable mode normalized by f as a function of the maximum value of q in the center of the Y domain q_c , and Ro for $Ri = 100$. Energy vectors as in Fig. 6 and text: Ro_{CUT} (thick solid line), E modes (black stems and vector tips), and B modes (gray stems and vector tips). (b) Close-up for $0.495 \leq q_c \leq 0.499$. Warm-core mode: $q_c = 0.49815$.

as Ro approaches Ro_{CUT} (1.562). This corresponds to the increasing down-shear phase lag for $Ro > 1$ discussed above. In this case, the constraint on W_Q imposed by a fixed value of q_c , combined with the decrease in perturbation thickness amplitude corresponding to the increased down shear phase lag (see Fig. 8d), stabilizes the mode for higher Ro . Similar behavior occurs for other values of Ri (not shown).

4. Summary and conclusions

The primary motivation for this work has been to determine if there is an instability that can account for

observed subsynoptic-scale warm-core convergent circulations. To accomplish this, a two-layer shallow-water model on an f plane has been modified to include the effects of latent heat in a nonconvective basic state. Previous investigations into the effect of latent heat release on baroclinic instability using quasigeostrophic models have not conclusively demonstrated the existence of subsynoptic-scale warm-core instabilities with preferred scales because they cannot capture the effects of ageostrophic flows. The shallow-water model's simplicity and versatility make it a suitable tool for finding such instabilities and determining their structure and sensitivity to baroclinity and saturation.

FIG. 8. Schematic of the four phase lags and associated circulations found for the (a) $Ro \ O(10^{-1})$, (b) $Ro \ O(1)$, (c) $Ro \ O(>1)$, and (d) $Ro \ O(>>1)$ cases. Vertical arrow lengths are proportional to strength of vertical velocities. Font size for Cs (cold) and Ws (warm) proportional to amplitude of interface (thickness) perturbation. Perturbation zonal (solid arrows) and meridional (dashed arrows) velocity.

a. Findings

For near-saturated adiabatic nonconvective conditions and a modicum of baroclinity, warm-core instability exists with a finite growth rate that is independent of vertical shear. This instability has a unique preferred scale and a convergent circulation. The preferred scale varies directly with the vertical shear and inversely with f . The growth rate of this instability is an order of magnitude larger while its scale is an order of magnitude less than in the corresponding dry case. All growth rates vary directly with f .

b. Comparison with quasigeostrophic baroclinic instability

The warm-core instability found in this study shares several characteristics with quasigeostrophic baroclinic instability in a moist basic state. Both instabilities have reduced length scales and increased growth rates as the latent heating reduces the effective static stability toward the convective threshold. Once the convective threshold is reached, both instabilities have a maximum

growth rate at the smallest scale. Moreover, both instabilities display increased upshear phase lag with height as latent heating increases. The distinction between the instabilities is the degree that these characteristics change with latent heating. This is particularly true of the upshear phase lag.

This distinction arises from the ageostrophic baroclinic energy conversion W_{BCAG} , which is not available in quasigeostrophic models. As baroclinity decreases, both quasigeostrophic and the current ageostrophic treatment require the baroclinic conversion W_{BC} to make up the decreasing difference between adiabatic cooling W_K and latent heating W_Q as the convective threshold is approached. However, the quasigeostrophic baroclinic instability requires a positive geostrophic baroclinic conversion W_{BCG} , which constrains the unstable modes to have an upshear phase tilt with height. This constraint does not exist for the ageostrophic instability because W_{BCAG} permits warm-core modes and even down-shear-tilted modes to be the preferred unstable modes near the convective threshold. Moreover, the inapplicability of the quasigeostrophic

FIG. 9. Growth rates normalized by f vs Ro for the dry mode ($q_c = 0$; gray) and warm core as most unstable mode for $Ri = 10$ ($q_c = 0.4885$; dashed), $Ri = 40$ ($q_c = 0.496$; dotted), $Ri = 100$ ($q_c = 0.49815$; solid), and $Ri = 1000$ ($q_c = 0.49976$; dashed-dotted). Energy vectors as in Fig. 6. (b) Close-up of warm core as most unstable mode; notation same as in (a).

assumption at larger Ro is not an issue for the ageostrophic flows permitted in the shallow-water model. Thus, higher Ro solutions characterized by convergent flows are still valid. One caveat, however, is that the shallow-water model is hydrostatic, which implies that the horizontal scale $\gg$ vertical scale. This constrains the scales to be no smaller than subsynoptic.

c. Most likely basic-state conditions for instability

For the nonconvective basic states used in this investigation, the baroclinity (vertical shear) required to pro-

duce warm-core instability decreases toward zero as q_c approaches the saturated adiabatic value of 0.5, the convective threshold. Combining this with the direct relationship between the vertical shear strength and preferred spatial scale discussed in the following subsection, nearly saturated nonconvective, low-shear subsynoptic regions appear to be favorable locations for the type of warm-core instability found in the current investigation. Applying the finding by Nolan et al. (2007) that for favorable surface vapor flux conditions in a zero shear environment preexisting circulations are

FIG. 10. Perturbation pressures (negative dotted) and winds for $Ri = 1000$ warm-core case ($q_c = 0.49976$; $Ro = 0.849368$; $f = 4.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$): (a) lower and (b) upper layer. Y direction distances are relative to center of the y domain.

catalysts for warm-core cyclogenesis, the instability found here may generate such catalytic circulations on the appropriate subsynoptic scale in a low-shear, non-convective nearly saturated adiabatic environment.

d. Vertical shear strength, scale, and instability potential

Although the warm-core instability found here has a growth rate that is independent of vertical shear

strength, the preferred spatial scale is directly proportional to it. Thus, the probability of the atmosphere producing the required spatial scale of nonconvective nearly saturated adiabatic conditions for a range of vertical shears must be considered. To the extent that it is more likely that somewhat spatially uniform, nearly saturated conditions exist over subsynoptic rather than synoptic-scale areas, weaker vertical shears with their smaller L_{WC} should increase the likelihood of these instabilities because of the more frequent occurrence of

FIG. 11. $Ri = 1000$ warm-core case. Three-dimensional perturbation winds projected on upper- and lower-layer perturbation pressure fields, respectively. Lower-layer winds with positive (negative) vertical velocities are shown in green (red). Upper-layer winds with positive (negative) vertical velocities are shown in blue (brown). Perturbation thickness field is colored mesh surface ranging from greatest red values to least blue values. The Y direction distances are the same as in Fig. 10.

near saturation on smaller spatial scales compared to larger ones.

Conversely, stronger vertical shears stabilize subsynoptic regions whose moisture and stability characteristics might otherwise be spatially sufficient to destabilize subsynoptic disturbances under weaker vertical shear

conditions. This occurs because stronger vertical shears have larger values of L_{CUT} , which stabilize a broader range of warm-core instabilities with $L_{WC} < L_{CUT}$. This is consistent with the finding of Kaplan and DeMaria (2003) that weak but nonzero vertical shears are nearly indistinguishable from zero shears in their effect on

FIG. 12. Warm core as most unstable mode for $Ri = 40$; $q_c = 0.496$; energy vectors and growth rates as in Fig. 9.

tropical cyclone intensification, whereas somewhat stronger shears markedly reduce the likelihood of intensification.

Davis and Bosart (2006) observe that vertical shear can play a dual and offsetting role in tropical cyclogenesis and intensification. On the one hand the synoptic-scale lift from a preceding baroclinic disturbance can moisten the atmosphere and help spin up the lower-tropospheric circulation. On the other hand vertical shear can tilt and displace the ensuing convection and thus inhibit intensification. While the current investigation does not address the role of convection in warm-core mode development, it attempts to bridge the gap (e.g., Davis and Bosart 2006) between the synoptic scale of precursor disturbances and the subsynoptic scale of developing warm-core disturbances.

Acknowledgments. This project started at San José State University in 1997 and was completed by correspondence after 2000 while BHK was a doctoral student at UCLA. The authors gratefully acknowledge Akio Arakawa and Carlos R. Mechoso of UCLA for their insights conveyed in personal communications.

APPENDIX

The Newton–Raphson Method of Solution

This technique follows IFW, except for the modifications to include latent heat release. IFW's (3.9) becomes

$$\bar{h}(1-q) \frac{d^2 \hat{p}_1}{dy^2} + \frac{d\bar{h}}{dy} \frac{d\hat{p}_1}{dy} - \left[k^2 \bar{h}(1-q) + \frac{fk}{(\sigma + kU_1)} \frac{d\bar{h}}{dy} \right] \hat{p}_1 - \frac{\bar{p}}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)g} [f^2 - (\sigma + kU_1)^2] (\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (H - \bar{h})q \frac{(\sigma + kU_2)}{(\sigma + kU_1)} \frac{[f^2 - (\sigma + kU_1)^2]}{[f^2 - (\sigma + kU_2)^2]} \left(k^2 \hat{p}_2 - \frac{d^2 \hat{p}_2}{dy^2} \right) = 0, \quad (\text{A1})$$

and IFW's (3.10) becomes

$$(\bar{h} - H)(1-q) \frac{d^2 \hat{p}_2}{dy^2} + \frac{d\bar{h}}{dy} \frac{d\hat{p}_2}{dy} - \left[k^2 (\bar{h} - H)(1-q) + \frac{fk}{(\sigma + kU_2)} \frac{d\bar{h}}{dy} \right] \hat{p}_2 - \frac{\bar{p}}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)g} [f^2 - (\sigma + kU_2)^2] (\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) + \bar{h}q \frac{(\sigma + kU_1)}{(\sigma + kU_2)} \frac{[f^2 - (\sigma + kU_2)^2]}{[f^2 - (\sigma + kU_1)^2]} \left(k^2 \hat{p}_1 - \frac{d^2 \hat{p}_1}{dy^2} \right) = 0. \quad (\text{A2})$$

At $y = 0$, applying $\bar{h} = 0$ and IFW (3.17), Eq. (A1) becomes:

$$\frac{d\bar{h}}{dy} \frac{d\hat{p}_1}{dy} - \frac{fk}{(\sigma + kU_1)} \frac{d\bar{h}}{dy} \hat{p}_1 - \frac{\bar{p}}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)g} [f^2 - (\sigma + kU_1)^2] (\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) = 0, \quad (\text{A3})$$

and at $y = L_y$, applying $\bar{h} - H = 0$ and IFW's (3.16), Eq. (A2) becomes

$$\frac{d\bar{h}}{dy} \frac{d\hat{p}_2}{dy} - \frac{fk}{(\sigma + kU_2)} \frac{d\bar{h}}{dy} \hat{p}_2 - \frac{\bar{p}}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)g} [f^2 - (\sigma + kU_2)^2] (\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) = 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Following IFW's (A.3)–(A.13), boundary conditions Eq. (A3) and IFW's (3.17) at $y = 0$, with $\hat{p}_1|_{y=0} = D$ and $\hat{p}_2|_{y=0} = 1$, are integrated using Eqs. (A1) and (A2) over a 5000 interval grid to $y = L_y$. The errors in Eq. (A4) and IFW's (3.16) at $y = L_y$ determine corrections in σ and D . The integration iterates until the corrections in σ and D become arbitrarily small.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, D. G., J. R. Holton, and C. B. Leovy, 1987: *Middle Atmospheric Dynamics*. Academic Press, 489 pp.
- Arakawa, A., 2004: The cumulus parameterization problem: Past, present, and future. *J. Climate*, **17**, 2493–2525.
- Bannon, P. R., 1986: Linear development of quasi-geostrophic baroclinic disturbances with condensational heating. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **43**, 2261–2274.
- Charney, J. G., and A. Eliassen, 1964: On the growth of the hurricane depression. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **21**, 68–75.
- Craig, G. C., and S. L. Gray, 1996: CISK or WISHE as the mechanism for tropical cyclone intensification. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **53**, 3528–3540.
- Curry, J., 1987: The contribution of radiative cooling to the formation of cold-core anticyclones. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **44**, 2575–2592.
- Davis, C. A., and L. F. Bosart, 2006: The formation of hurricane Humberto (2001): The importance of extra-tropical precursors. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **132**, 2055–2085.
- Descamps, L., D. Ricard, A. Joly, and P. Arbogast, 2007: Is a real cyclogenesis case explained by generalized linear baroclinic instability? *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64**, 4287–4308.
- Emanuel, K. A., 1986: An air–sea interaction theory for tropical

- cyclones. Part I: Steady-state maintenance. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **43**, 585–604.
- , 1989: The finite-amplitude nature of tropical cyclogenesis. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **46**, 3431–3456.
- Fraedrich, K., and J. L. McBride, 1995: Large-scale convective instability revisited. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **52**, 1914–1923.
- Frank, W. M., and P. E. Roundy, 2006: The role of tropical waves in tropical cyclogenesis. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **134**, 2397–2417.
- Holton, J. R., 2004: *An Introduction to Dynamic Meteorology*. 4th ed. Academic Press, 535 pp.
- Houze, R. A., Jr., 2004: Mesoscale convective systems. *Rev. Geophys.*, **42**, RG4003, doi:10.1029/2004RG000150.
- Kaplan, J., and M. DeMaria, 2003: Large-scale characteristics of rapidly intensifying tropical cyclones in the North Atlantic basin. *Wea. Forecasting*, **18**, 1093–1108.
- Majda, A. J., and M. G. Shefter, 2001: Waves and instabilities for model tropical convective parameterizations. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **58**, 896–914.
- Mak, M., 1981: An inquiry on the nature of CISK. Part I. *Tellus*, **33**, 531–537.
- , 1982: On moist quasi-geostrophic baroclinic instability. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **39**, 2028–2037.
- , 1983: On moist quasi-geostrophic barotropic instability. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **40**, 2349–2367.
- McBride, J. L., and K. Fraedrich, 1995: CISK: A theory for the response of tropical convective complexes to variations in sea surface temperature. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **121**, 783–796.
- Mechoso, C. R., and D. M. Sinton, 1983: On the energy analysis of the two-layer frontal model. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **40**, 2069–2074.
- Montgomery, M. T., M. E. Nicholls, T. A. Cram, and A. B. Saunders, 2006: A vertical hot tower route to tropical cyclogenesis. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **63**, 355–386.
- Moorthi, S., and A. Arakawa, 1985: Baroclinic instability with cumulus heating. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **42**, 2007–2031.
- Nolan, D. S., E. D. Rappin, and K. A. Emanuel, 2007: Tropical cyclogenesis sensitivity to environmental parameters in radiative-convective equilibrium. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **133**, 2085–2107.
- Orlanski, I., 1968: Instability of frontal waves. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **25**, 178–200.
- Simmons, A. J., 1974: The meridional scale of baroclinic waves. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **31**, 1515–1525.
- Sinton, D. M., 1984: Instability and nonlinear evolution of frontal waves. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Los Angeles, 114 pp.
- , and C. R. Mechoso, 1984: Nonlinear evolution of frontal waves. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **41**, 3501–3517.
- , and W. D. Heise, 1993: Frontal instability in a sheared basic state. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **50**, 1691–1707.
- Thorncroft, C. D., and B. J. Hoskins, 1990: Frontal cyclogenesis. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **47**, 2317–2336.
- Thorpe, A. J., and K. A. Emanuel, 1985: Frontogenesis in the presence of small stability to slantwise convection. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **42**, 1809–1824.
- Tokioka, T., 1973: A stability study of medium-scale disturbances with inclusion of convective effects. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, **51**, 1–9.
- Wang, B., and A. Barcilon, 1986: Moist stability of a baroclinic zonal flow with conditionally unstable stratification. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **43**, 705–719.
- Xu, K.-M., and K. A. Emanuel, 1989: Is the tropical atmosphere conditionally unstable? *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **117**, 1471–1479.